

Date: 24/08/2021

Our Reference: FOIA-2021-084

RE: Freedom of Information Act 2000 Request

I write in response to your Freedom of Information Act 2000 (or 'FoIA 2000') request dated 07/08/2021:

1. *Any research/evaluation of the online implicit bias platforms examined in deciding which test platform to use*
2. *The name of the developer and supplier of the Implicit bias test chosen for use in the Taser training*
3. *The specific version(s) of the test which is being deployed with officers in training*
4. *Any information you hold on the link between test scores and behaviour in Taser training*
5. *The tests-retest reliability of the chosen test(s)*
6. *The sample size of officers who piloted the test(s)*
7. *The Standard Deviation of and Standard Error Measurement of the tests in use with police officers*
8. *The sample size and results of any testing, showing the distribution of scores amongst police officers and any link with behaviour*

When a request for information is made under FoIA 2000, a public authority has a general duty under section 1(1) of the Act to inform an applicant whether the requested information is held. There is then a general obligation to communicate that information to the applicant. If a public authority decides that the information should not be disclosed because an exemption applies, it must, under section 17(1) cite the appropriate section or exemption of the Act and provide an explanation for relying upon it.

It is important to note that a freedom of information request is not a private transaction. Both the request itself and any information disclosed are considered suitable for open publication, that is, once access to information is granted to one person under the legislation, it is then considered public information and must be communicated to any individual should a request be received. In light of this, our responses and disclosures are published on our external website at a later date. This should be borne in mind where a request is made for personal data of named individuals.

Decision

After conducting careful searches for any information relevant to your request I can confirm that partial information is held. Each part of your request is considered below:

1. Refused under Section 22. Please see below.
2. No information held
3. No testing has been carried out
4. No information held
5. No information held
6. No information held
7. No information held
8. No information held

Section 22 – information intended for future publication

Section 22 states:

(1) Information is exempt information if—

- (a) the information is held by the public authority with a view to its publication, by the authority or any other person, at some future date (whether determined or not),
- (b) the information was already held with a view to such publication at the time when the request for information was made, and
- (c) it is reasonable in all the circumstances that the information should be withheld from disclosure until the date referred to in paragraph (a)

In relation to information that we do currently hold that is captured by your request, I acknowledge that there is a public interest in sharing research information in a timely manner. However this must be balanced with the public interest in a public body providing the data in a cost effective way. I do not consider that publishing this information in response to an individual FOIA request, when there is a settled intention to publish in the near future, the most cost effective or efficient method of publishing this information. I therefore consider that the public interest lies in withholding this information at this stage.

The application of section 22 requires it to be reasonable in all of the circumstances to withhold disclosure until the intended date of publication. Given that the research process is still ongoing, and taking into account that the intention is to publish the research in the near future, I am of the view that is it

reasonable to withhold the information until the intended date of publication. I can confirm this does not yet have a set date.

Your rights are provided in **Appendix A**.

Yours sincerely,

Legal Services
Information Management and Legal Team
College of Policing

Email: FOI@college.pnn.police.uk

Website: www.college.police.uk

Appendix A

Rights

If you are dissatisfied with the handling procedures or the decision of the College of Policing made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) regarding access to information you can request that the decision is reviewed internally.

Internal review requests should be made in writing, within **forty (40) working days** from the date of the refusal notice, and addressed to: FOI team, Central House, Beckwith Knowle, Otley Road, Harrogate, North Yorkshire, HG3 1UF or email: FOI@college.pnn.police.uk

In all possible circumstances the College of Policing will aim to respond to your request for internal review within **20 working days**.

The Information Commissioner

If, after lodging an internal review request with the College of Policing you are still dissatisfied with the decision you may make application to the Information Commissioner for a decision on whether the request for information has been dealt with in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

For information on how to make application to the Information Commissioner please visit their website at <https://ico.org.uk/for-the-public/official-information/>.

Alternatively, write to:

Information Commissioner's Office

Wycliffe House

Water Lane

Wilmslow

Cheshire

SK9 5AF

Phone: 0303 123 1113