**NPCC – International Crime Coordination Centre (ICCC)**

**Tel: 0300 790 0000**

**ICCC Enquiry Form**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Protective Marking \*(Mandatory filed) | **OFFICIAL-SENSITIVE** **when completed** | | | | | | |
| Have you requested this information already? (**Yes/No**) | | | | Choose an item. | | | |
| If Yes, please provide details on the routes you have tried below: | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **YOUR DETAILS** | | | | | | | |
| Name + Rank / Grade\*: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| Email\*: | Click here to enter text. | | | Tel No.: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Your Ref\*: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| **ENQUIRY DETAILS** | | | | | | | |
| Enquiry Topic\*: | Other (specify in free text box) | | | | | | |
| If Other selected: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| Priority / Urgency\*: | Choose an item. | | | | | | |
| Reason for Priority\*: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| Destination Countries\*: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| **SUBJECT’S DETAILS** | | | | | | | |
| **Person:** | | | | | | | |
| Family Name(s): | Click here to enter text. | | | First Name(s): | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Date of Birth: | Click here to enter a date. | | | Gender: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Nationality: | Click here to enter text. | | | Place of Birth: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Name at Birth: | Click here to enter text. | | | Alias: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| PNC ID: | Click here to enter text. | | | CRO/CHS No.: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Passport number |  | | | | | | |
| ID Card number |  | | | | | | |
| Additional Information: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| **Telephone Number(s):** | | | | | | | |
| No. 1: Click here to enter text. | | No. 2: Click here to enter text. | | No. 3: Click here to enter text. | | | No. 4:Click here to enter text. |
| **Vehicle:** | | | | | | | |
| Make: | Click here to enter text. | | | Model: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| VRM: | Click here to enter text. | | | VIN: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Country of Registration: | Click here to enter text. | | | Main Colour: | | Click here to enter text. | |
| Engine number: |  | | | | | | |
| Additional Information: | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | |
| **Other Subjects:** (including Companies, Industrial Equipment, Firearms etc.) | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **NATURE OF REQUEST** | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **INTELLIGENCE GRADING OF THE ABOVE SUBJECTS.**  Please grade as per the National Intelligence model 3X5X2 system (**See APPENDIX A**). The request will be rejected otherwise. | | | | | | | |
| **Source Evaluation**\* | | | **Information/Intel Assessment**\* | | **Dissemination**\* | | |
| Choose an item. | | | Choose an item. | | Choose an item. | | |
| **IF “Lawful sharing permitted with conditions” has been selected for Dissemination, then please provide details for conditions for sharing:** | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | |
| **Please send the form to the ICCC Police Cooperation team:**  [NPCCMailbox-.ICCC-PoliceCooperation@met.police.uk](mailto:NPCCMailbox-.ICCC-PoliceCooperation@met.police.uk) | | | | | | | |

**APPENDIX A**

**National Intelligence model 3X5X2 system**

**Source Evaluation:**

**Reliable –** This grading is used when the source is believed to be both competent and information received is generally reliable. This may include information from human intelligence, technical, scientific and forensic sources. It is important that the two tests of competence and veracity of past intelligence are both met before a source is considered to be reliable. Where either test is not met, not reliable

should be selected and the ground to doubt the reliability is specified.

**Untested –** This relates to a source that has not previously provided information to the person receiving it or has provided information that has not been substantiated. The source may not necessarily be unreliable, but the information provided should be treated with caution. Before acting on this information, corroboration should be considered. This would apply to information when the source cannot be determined, for example, Crimestoppers.

**Not reliable** – This should be used where there are reasonable grounds to doubt the reliability of the source. These should be specified (IR risk assessment) and may include concerns regarding the authenticity, trustworthiness, competence or motive of the source or confidence in the technical equipment. Corroboration should be sought before acting on this information.

**Information/Intel Assessment:**

**Known directly to the source** – Refers to information obtained first-hand, eg, through witnessing it. Care must be taken to differentiate between what a source witnessed themselves and what a source has been told or heard from a third party.

**Known indirectly to the source but corroborated** – Refers to information that the source has not witnessed themselves, but the reliability of the information can be verified by separate information that carries the information/intelligence of assessment of A. This corroboration could come from technical sources, other intelligence, investigations or enquiries. Care should be taken when ascertaining corroboration to ensure that the information that is presented as corroboration is independent and not from the same original source.

**Known indirectly to the source** – Applies to information that the source has been told by someone else. The source does not have first-hand knowledge of the information as they did not witness it themselves.

**Not known** – Applies where there is no means of assessing the information. This may include information from an anonymous source, or partners such as Crimestoppers.

**Suspected to be false** – Regardless of how the source came upon this information, there is a reason to believe the information provided is false. If this is the case, the rationale for why it is believed to be false should be documented in the [IR risk assessment](https://www.app.college.police.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Intelligence-Report-form_FINAL_01-6-16.pdf).

**Dissemination**

**Lawful sharing permitted**

In order to share this intelligence there must be:

* a policing purpose
* local protocols in place
* a legitimate need to receive it.

Lawful policing purpose is defined as to:

* assist others to protect life or property
* assist to preserve order
* prevent the commission of offences
* assist others to bring offenders to justice
* linked to any duty or responsibility arising from common or statute law.

Lawful sharing includes other government departments, private and voluntary sectors.

Specific questions need to be asked when considering dissemination of code P intelligence. For example:

* are there legal obligations?
* who is asking for it?
* why do they want it?
* what are they going to do with it?

**Lawful sharing permitted with Conditions**

* **A1 covert development** – intelligence may be combined or corroborated with other intelligence but action cannot be taken directly. Permission must be sought from the originator before action is taken on any derived intelligence.
* **A2 covert use** – covert action may be taken on this intelligence although the source, technique and any wider investigative effectiveness must be protected. This intelligence may not be used in isolation as evidence, in judicial proceedings or to support arrest.
* **A3 overt use** – overt action is permitted on this intelligence. This information can be used for: TO BE SPECIFIED BY SOURCE INTELLIGENCE OWNER.
* **S1 delegated authority** – the originator of the intelligence permits the unsupervised sanitisation of the material in order to allow dissemination to a wider audience.
* **S2 consult originator** – the originator of the intelligence does not permit the sanitisation of the material for wider dissemination without consultation being sought.